Remarks

Applicant respectfully reminds the Examiner that the present application was granted a special, expedited examination status.

Applicant also would like to point out an error in the Office Action, namely, the Examiner's mistaking applicant's Request for Continued Examination (RCE) mailed on June 15, 2004 (received by the PTO on June 17, 2004) for a Request for Continued Prosecution Application (CPA). It is respectfully requested that the Examiner correct the error in the record accordingly.

The Examiner rejected claims 60-98 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Bickford in view of DeLorme. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The invention is directed to a technique for accessing entertainment programs (e.g., radio programs) from different sources (e.g., radio stations) in a vehicle. The entertainment programs are classified in categories, e.g., country, rock and classical music, based on their content. Auxiliary data concerning the categories of the entertainment programs may be provided by the sources, along with the entertainment programs. In accordance with the invention, those sources whose signals are within the receiving range of the vehicle are identified, e.g., by a frequency scanner. Indicators representing the identified sources are associated with program categories based on the auxiliary data. For a given category, a collection of the indicators associated with the category are displayed, thereby facilitating selection of a source represented by an indicator in the collection to receive an entertainment program classified in the given category. See page 42, line 14 et seq. of the specification, Fig. 18.

Bickford discloses a broadcast receiver. However, as communicated to the Examiner by phone on December 21, 2004, the Examiner had cited Bickford in a previous Office Action. In a Response filed on June 2, 2003 (received by the PTO on June 4, 2003), applicant submitted a Declaration Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 to antedate the filing date of Bickford. Applicant renews the application of such a Rule 131

Declaration to antedating the filing date of Bickford here.

In addition, the Examiner incorrectly stated in the Office Action that DeLorme "teaches [that] the association [of a collection of one or more indicators with at least one category] is determined based on the data [received from sources remote from the vehicle], thereby facilitating selection of a remote source represented by an indicator in the collection to receive an entertainment program, which is classified in the at least one category and currently provided by the remote source," as in claim 60. *Id.* at p. 3. The Examiner also incorrectly stated that "a display for presenting thereon a selected category, together with at least one option associated with at least one of the sources which currently provides a signal containing an entertainment program classified in the selected category, the option being selectable to receive the entertainment program currently provided by the at least one source" as in claim 70 reads on DeLorme. *Id.* at p. 4.

DeLorme discloses a travel reservation information and planning system. At the outset, DeLorme does not even apply to the claimed invention which has nothing to do with travel reservation or planning as in DeLorme. Conversely, DeLorme has nothing to do with the claimed invention, which is directed to receiving entertainment programs in a vehicle. Applicant, when reading DeLorme, paid extreme attention to the particular section (i.e., col. 5-6, lines 1-67 of DeLorme) cited by the Examiner where the quoted limitations are allegedly taught. For the Examiner's convenience, the cited section is summarized paragraph by paragraph as follows:

- (I) A CDROM product containing a database of travel information appears limited to "suggested routes of travel." Col. 5, lines 3-9 of DeLorme.
- (II) Travel information from multimedia sources is limited to "canned" or prepared multimedia travelogs of prescribed, suggested or pre-planned tours. The user is deprived of an opportunity or a capability of selectivity in constructing a customized travelog of assembled multimedia information for previewing a particular user determined route of travel. The capability to permit an individual or group to execute the

travel plans once developed is not provided. Col. 5, lines 10-28 of DeLorme.

- (III) Attempts have been made to provide direct access to travel service providers. A Garback system permits a user to develop travel arrangements through linkage to service providers and to ticket providers. However, there is no capability to observe the travel location on a digital display in conjunction with the development of the travel arrangement. The Garback system can only provide materials necessary to travel from airport to airport and to get the basic car and hotel that most travelers require. Col. 5, lines 30-47 of DeLorme.
- (IV) A Webber system is directed to weighting various flight options by expense, convenience, and availability, and giving a user travel possibilities on that basis. Col. 5, lines 49-58 of DeLorme.
- (V) Like prior computer reservation services (CRS), existing Internet travel information sites are limited to commercial airline reservations. In some cases, the Internet sites provide a user with "hot links" to an overwhelming variety, number, and selection of other Internet sites containing undifferentiated and uneven amounts of travel information along with circular hot links to similar sites. Col. 5, lines 59-67 through col. 6, lines 1-7 of DeLorme.
- (VI) The prior art travel arrangement systems fail in that they do not provide a complete access system that gives the user all of the information of interest and materials when travel is involved. In designing an integrated travel/activity planning system, it is important to 1) consider topics, activities, or things to do apart from a specific destination; 2) set the travel destination(s); 3) set times/dates for the start and finish of a possible trip; 4) determine the mode or modes of travel required to reach those destinations; 5) discover information regarding points of interest and activities along the travel route and/or at the destination; 6) discover information on events of interest, scheduled performances, meetings and the like as related to specific dates/times, topics and/or places; 7) get information on transportation, lodgings and other accommodations

available at the destinations and/or at specified dates/times; 8) make the reservations associated with the travel, the accommodations, and the activities available, plus take advantage of diverse, special offers for goods/services from participating third party provider; 9) get the documentation necessary to carry out the travel and related activities, such as tickets, ticket vouchers, price discount or extra service coupons, etc.; 10) observe the travel route at various levels of magnification, the destinations, events of interest, travel topics, and points of interest located on a map display; and 11) get travel plan output such as a paper printout of the map display or equivalent text, audio, electronic or other output has may include information regarding the points of interest as well as physical documentation or equivalent codes that may be the tickets, etc. Col. 6, lines 8-46 of DeLorme.

(VII) There is a need for a system allowing a user to control and conduct such travel and/or activities as a function of four basic questions: Where?, What?, When?, and How? Col. 6, lines 47-54 of DeLorme.

(VIII) In summary, a new Travel Reservation and Information System (TRIPS) is suggested, which permits a user to custom-define and examine a travel route and/or plans based upon answers to the questions noted above. Col. 6, lines 55-67 of DeLorme.

Applicant respectfully submits that nowhere in the Examiner's cited section (summarized above) does it teach or suggest the claim limitations in question. Nor does the rest of DeLorme, or Bickford as admitted by the Examiner, teach or suggest the same.

In view of the foregoing, each of claims 60-98 is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of these claims is requested and allowance of

Serial No. 09/923,125

the application is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully,

Michael L. Obradovich

By

Alex L. Yip, Attorney Reg. No. 34,759

212-836-7363

Date: December 29, 2004